



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/644,068

08/20/2003

Hisashi Nakamura

031016

4352

38834

7590

10/27/2008

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

XIAO, KE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2629

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/27/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/644,068	Applicant(s) NAKAMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ke Xiao	Art Unit 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 June 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizoguchi (US 5,841,466) in view of Iwasa (WO 02/42890).

Regarding **Claims 1 and 2**, Mizoguchi teaches a liquid crystal projector (Mizoguchi, Figs. 2 and 3, elements 8 and 22) comprising:

an operation unit for operating a liquid crystal projector, the operation means including means for entering a command to control the liquid crystal projector via key entry (Mizoguchi, Mizoguchi, Fig. 2 element 14, Fig. 4 elements 30-32);

a circuit for previously registering a password (Mizoguchi, Fig. 4 element 30, Col. 2 line 63 - Col. 3 line 13);

a circuit for onscreen displaying, in a case where the pass word is registered, a password entry screen when the power to the liquid crystal projector is turned on (Mizoguchi, Figs. 2 and 4 element 3, 5 and 14, Col. 3 lines 13-65);

a circuit for comparing a password entered on the password entry screen with the register password and making it possible to operate the liquid crystal projector by

Art Unit: 2629

the operation unit only when both the passwords coincide with each other (Mizoguchi, Figs. 1 and 4 element 30, Col. 3 lines 13-65); and

a power off operation of the liquid crystal projector wherein the operation of the power key provided in the operation means is never nullified or inhibited (Mizoguchi, Figs. 2 and 4 element 12, the power key is separate from the actual control device it's a hardwire switch which provides power to the entire device thereby it is not nullified by the password system).

Mizoguchi fails to teach a determining circuit to determine a number of times an erroneous password is entered as claimed. Iwasa teaches determining a number of times an erroneous password is entered and to inhibit operation of an electronic system, by nullifying operation of keys of the operation means, in response to determining that the number of times an erroneous password is entered exceeds a predetermined number of times (Iwasa, Fig. 6, please see Iwasa US 2003/0199267, Fig. 6 for direct translation). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add an additional circuit to determine a number of times an erroneous password is entered and to inhibit operation of the display of Mizoguchi in response to determining that the number of times an erroneous password is entered exceeds a predetermined number of times as taught by Iwasa in order to prevent an unauthorized user from guessing at the password repeatedly.

Regarding **Claims 3 and 4**, Mizoguchi teaches a liquid crystal projector system for regulating use of a liquid crystal projector (Mizoguchi, Fig. 2 element 5), comprising:

an external computer for operating the liquid crystal projector, the external computer being connected to the liquid crystal projector by radio or wire and including liquid crystal projector controlling software for controlling the liquid crystal projector (Mizoguchi, Fig. 3 element 8 and 22);

the liquid crystal projector comprising:

an operation unit for operating the liquid crystal projector, the operation means including means for entering a command to control the liquid crystal project via key entry (Mizoguchi, Fig. 2 element 14, Fig. 4 elements 30-32);

a circuit for registering a password in the liquid crystal projector (Mizoguchi, Fig. 4 element 30);

a determining unit to determining whether a password is registered in the liquid crystal projector in response to a power supply of the liquid crystal projector being turned on (Mizoguchi, Fig. 1 element S3, Fig. 4 element 30);

a circuit for onscreen displaying a password entry screen, for inhibiting operation of the liquid crystal projector by the operation means, as well as for waiting until a password is received from the external computer in response to detecting that the password is registered in the liquid crystal projector (Mizoguchi, Fig. 1 S14-S17, Fig. 4 elements 3, 5 and 30);

a circuit for comparing, in response to receiving the password from the external computer, the received password with the registered password and for allowing operating of the liquid crystal projector by the external computer in response to the means for comparing indicating that both the registered password and the password

Art Unit: 2629

received from the external computer coincide with each other (Mizoguchi, Fig. 1 S14-S17, Fig. 4 elements 3, 5 and 30); and

a power off operation of the liquid crystal projector wherein the operation of the power key provided in the operation means is never nullified or inhibited (Mizoguchi, Figs. 2 and 4 element 12, the power key is separate from the actual control device it's a hardwire switch which provides power to the entire device thereby it is not nullified by the password system).

Mizoguchi fails to teach a determining circuit to determine a number of times an erroneous password is entered as claimed. Iwasa teaches determining a number of times an erroneous password is entered and to inhibit operation of an electronic system, by nullifying operation of keys of the operation means, in response to determining that the number of times an erroneous password is entered exceeds a predetermined number of times (Iwasa, Fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add an additional circuit to determine a number of times an erroneous password is entered and to inhibit operation of the display of Mizoguchi in response to determining that the number of times an erroneous password is entered exceeds a predetermined number of times as taught by Iwasa in order to prevent an unauthorized user from guessing at the password repeatedly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 16th 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Mizoguchi teaches away from Iwasa and therefore the combination is improper. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically the applicant argues that Mizoguchi teaches that the buttons are not inhibited and therefore allow the user to continue to enter in passwords, and that Iwasa teaches that since the buttons are inhibited this destroys the functionality that the user would be able to continuously enter in passwords. The examiner believes that the system of Iwasa is more secure than the system of Mizoguchi, specifically Mizoguchi provides a basic measure of security and Iwasa provides a greater level of security by limiting the number of times a user can enter a password and inhibits the input devices, with the exception of the power button, once that limit has been exceeded. This is a clear advantage over the security methods of Mizoguchi, it doesn't destroy the basic idea of Mizoguchi it merely adds to the feature set.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ke Xiao whose telephone number is (571)272-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sumati Lefkowitz/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Ke Xiao/

Examiner, Art Unit 2629